UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/565,443 | 05/12/2006 | Arnold Gloor | DSM-18-US | 9839 |
| | 7590 12/23/200 OCIATES LLC | EXAMINER | | |
| | EET , SUITE 301 | | LILLING, HERBERT J | |
| MILLBURN, NJ 07041 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1657 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/23/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | 10/565,443 | GLOOR, ARNOLD | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | HERBERT J. LILLING | 1657 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 (2a) This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) | awn from consideration. | ection requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | cepted or b) objected to by the defended or b) for objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | |

Art Unit: 1657

1. Receipt is acknowledged an amendment response filed October 23, 2008 to a non-final rejection of the claimed subject matter.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14-15, 17, 19 and new claims 21-41 are now pending in this application.

Claims 3, 13, 16, 18 and 20 have been cancelled.

3. In view of the amendments to the claims and new claims, the following restriction and election of species have been required which requirements are

in accordance with MPEP 811 [R-3]:

811 [R-3] Time for Making Requirement

37 CFR 1.142(a), second sentence, **>indicates that a restriction requirement "will normally be made before any action upon the merits; however, it may be made at any time before final action." This means the examiner should make a proper requirement as early as possible in the prosecution, in the first action if possible, otherwise, as soon as the need for a proper requirement develops.

Before making a restriction requirement after the first action on the merits, the examiner will consider whether there will be a serious burden if restriction is not required."

A serious burden has been severely placed on this Examiner in view of the amendments to the claims as well as new claimed inventions not submitted prior to the first office action. A search and examination was not performed on all of the inventions now pending in this application which new subject matter has been presented after a search and examination of the original claimed subject matter.

- 4. Thus, the following restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-2, 4-12, 14-15, 17, 19 and 21, drawn to a process for the purification of <u>fermentatively produced riboflavin</u> that has at least one impurity which

Art Unit: 1657

is a DNA comprising the steps of-

- (a) precipitating a first crystalline form of fermentatively produced riboflavin,
- (b) isolating the first crystalline form of riboflavin,
- (c) transforming the first crystalline form of riboflavin into a second crystalline form of riboflavin under conditions that decompose diluted DNA, and
- (d) isolating the second crystalline form of riboflavin,
- -wherein the first crystalline form of riboflavin is a riboflavin hydrate,

classified in class 435, subclass 262.

- II. Claims 22-30, drawn to a process for the purification of riboflavin comprising the steps of:
- (a) precipitating a first crystalline form of riboflavin,
- (b) isolating the first crystalline form of riboflavin,
- (c) transforming the first crystalline form of riboflavin into a second crystalline form of riboflavin under conditions that decompose diluted DNA, wherein the transforming is performed at a temperature of between 60°C and 75°C using (i) a mineral acid, (ii) a base, or (iii) an organic acid, and
- (d) isolating the second crystalline form of riboflavin, provided that at ambient temperature the first crystalline form of riboflavin is thermodynamically less stable than the second crystalline form of riboflavin,

classified in class 544, subclass 251.

- III. Claim 31, drawn to a process for the purification of riboflavin comprising the steps of:
- (a) precipitating a first crystalline form of riboflavin,
- (b) isolating the first crystalline form of riboflavin,
- (c) transforming the first crystalline form of riboflavin into a second crystalline form of riboflavin under conditions that decompose diluted DNA, wherein a slurry comprising the first crystalline form of riboflavin is pumped continuously through a heat exchanger and further pumped through a tube equipped with a jacket heating and either a multistage stirring system or static mixers, and
- (d) isolating the second crystalline form of riboflavin, provided that at ambient temperature the first crystalline form of riboflavin is thermodynamically less stable than the second crystalline form of riboflavin,

classified in Class 544, subclass 251

- IV. Claims 32-40, drawn to a process for decreasing the DNA content of riboflavin crystals comprising the steps of:
- (a) fermentatively producing riboflavin in a fermentation broth,
- (b) precipitating and isolating riboflavin crystals of a first crystalline form of riboflavin from the fermentation broth,

Art Unit: 1657

(c) transforming the crystals of step (b) into a second crystalline form of riboflavin which is a thermodynamically more stable form of riboflavin than the first crystalline form, and

- (d) isolating the crystals of step (c), classified in Class 435, subclass 66.
- V. Claim 41, drawn to a process for removal of DNA from fermentatively produced riboflavin crystals comprising transforming a first crystalline form of riboflavin obtained from the fermentatively produced crystals into a second crystalline form of riboflavin, classified in Class 435, subclass 262.

Each of the above inventions is drawn to independent or distinct inventions that requires distinct searches in view of the fact that each of the above claimed inventions contains one or more patentably distinct process steps. The claimed subject matter of Invention I is drawn to a purifying a fermentative product whereas Invention II does not require that the product is a fermentative product for the purification of riboflavin containing diluted DNA. In addition, Invention I does not require that the transformation step for the purification of diluted DNA employing a specific temperature range in the presence of mineral acid, base or organic acid.

Invention III requires a heat exchanger for the transformation step of step (c) whereas Inventions I or II does not require this step for the transformation.

Invention IV is drawn to a process for decreasing DNA content of riboflavin crystals by transforming one type of riboflavin crystals by transformation of one type to another type of crystalline form which does not require specific process steps of Inventions I, II or III.

Invention V, is drawn to a process for removal of DNA by transforming one crystalline type to another which process does not specify any active process step for the transformation.

- 6. The above restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action **are independent or distinct for the reasons** given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their

different classification;

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

Art Unit: 1657

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1657

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species :
 - A. Whereby the first crystalline form of riboflavin is:
 - a) riboflavin hydrate
 - ai) riboflavin monohydrate;
 - aii) riboflavin dihydrate;
 - aiii) other(s)-please specify;
 - aiv) any mixture of above.
 - B. Whereby the second crystalline form of riboflavin is:
 - a) riboflavin anhydrate I;
 - b) other(s) please specify;
 - c) mixture of above-please specify.
- C. Whereby the conditions for transforming first form of riboflavin to a second form of riboflavin as noted by claim 1, claim 22 or claim 39, is selected from:
 - a) mineral acid,

Art Unit: 1657

- b) base,
- c) organic acid.
- d) any combination of above-please specify.
- D. Whereby the riboflavin is produced or obtained by:
 - a) fermentation;
 - b) organic synthesis;
 - c) other(s)-please specify;
 - d) any combination of above-please specify.
- 9. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic to all of the above requirements.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 1657

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Art Unit: 1657

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HERBERT J. LILLING whose telephone number is 571-272-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on WORK AT HOME MAXIFLEX.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JON WEBER can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (571) 272-0918 Art Unit <u>1657</u> December 19, 2008

> /HERBERT J LILLING/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657